

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,796	08/21/2003	Mun-Sang Kim	K5675.0013/P013	7393
24998	7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER	
	N SHAPIRO MORIN	NGUYEN, HUONG Q		
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3736	
		DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,796	KIM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen Nguyen	3736	
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
tatus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	21 August 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		•	
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But 	•	n received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received	
See the attached detailed Office action for a	anscorule celulied copies 110	t i Cocived.	
Mark and and a			
Attachment(s)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,796 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-16, drawn to an apparatus for measuring the center of rotation of jaw

motion, classified in class 600, subclass 590.

II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method for measuring jaw motion, classified in class

600, subclass 590.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions

are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to

practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process

as claimed can be practice by another materially different apparatus such as a jaw motion

apparatus that does not require a coupling device, as evidenced by the omission of said coupling

device from said independent Invention II claim.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the

inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Thomas J. D'Amico on 4/21/2006 at 8:45 am to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 5. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3736

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-8340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HQN 4/21/2006

THE PATENT EXAMINE
THE STORY SECTION STORY SECTION STORY SECTION STORY SECTION STORY STORY SECTION STORY SECTION SECTI